

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH®

Trademarks

in 52 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editor: Michelle Mancino Marsh

2014



Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:

Adams & Adams
Ali & Associates
Aliat Legal
Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune
ARTE Law Firm
Bardehle Pagenberg Partnerschaft
Barger, Piso & Partner
BFS Legal
Bhering Advogados
Bloomfield Advocates & Solicitors
Boyes Turner
Bufete Mejia & Asociados
Cabinet Beau de Loménie
Coulson Harney
Delacour
Dericioğlu & Yaşar Law Office
Duany & Kresalja Estudio de Abogados
E Blum & Co AG
Fabara & Compañía Abogados
Ferraiuoli LLC
Floissac Fleming & Associates
Formosa Transnational, Attorneys at Law
Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP
Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque
JWP Patent & Trademark Attorneys
Karanović & Nikolić Law Office
Kenyon & Kenyon LLP
Law Office Drnovšek LLC
Lee International IP & Law Group
Liu, Shen & Associates
Malamis & Associates
MGAP Attorneys at Law
Moeller IP Advisors
O'Neal Webster
OlarteMoure
Raul César Ferreira (Herd) SA
Romero Pineda & Asociados
Società Italiana Brevetti (SIB)
Studio Legale SIB
Thompson Associates
Unthoff, Gomez Vega & Unthoff SC
Vossius & Partner
WongPartnership LLP
Wrays

**10th anniversary
edition**


 GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Trademarks 2014

Contributing editor
Michelle Mancino Marsh
Kenyon & Kenyon LLP

Publisher
Gideon Robertson

Business development managers
Alan Lee
George Ingledew
Dan White

Account manager
Megan Friedman

Trainee account managers
Cady Atkinson
Joseph Rush
Dominique Destrée
Emma Chowdhury

Media coordinator
Parween Bains

Administrative coordinator
Sophie Hickey

Trainee research coordinator
Robin Synnot

Marketing manager (subscriptions)
Rachel Nurse
subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Head of editorial production
Adam Myers

Production coordinator
Lydia Gerges

Senior production editor
Jonathan Cowie

Production editor
Martin Forrest

Director
Callum Campbell

Managing director
Richard Davey

Trademarks 2014
Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2013
No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.
First published 2005
Tenth edition
ISSN 1745-4638

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of October 2013, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112

Overview Michelle Mancino Marsh <i>Kenyon & Kenyon LLP</i>	3
The Community Trademark Mathias Kleespies and Simone Schaefer <i>Vossius & Partner</i>	4
Angola Patrícia Rodrigues <i>Raul César Ferreira (Herd) SA</i>	9
Argentina Estela De Luca and Diana Vera Caspary <i>Moeller IP Advisors</i>	13
Australia Marie Wong and Stephanie Harrower <i>Wrays</i>	19
Austria Peter Israiloff <i>Barger, Piso & Partner</i>	28
Bosnia and Herzegovina Tamara Bubalo <i>Karanović & Nikolić Law Office</i>	34
Brazil Philippe Bhering and Mina Kaway <i>Bhering Advogados</i>	39
British Virgin Islands Vanessa King and Feroza Kartick <i>O'Neal Webster</i>	47
Canada James Buchan and Jennifer McKay <i>Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP</i>	51
Cape Verde Patrícia Rodrigues <i>Raul César Ferreira (Herd) SA</i>	59
China Jay Sha <i>Liu, Shen & Associates</i>	64
Colombia Juan Guillermo Moure, Juan Felipe Acosta and María Clara Calderón <i>OlarteMoure</i>	71
Croatia Tamara Bubalo <i>Karanović & Nikolić Law Office</i>	76
Denmark Johnny Petersen <i>Delacour</i>	81
Ecuador María Rosa Fabara Vera <i>Fabara & Compañía Abogados</i>	87
El Salvador José Roberto Romero <i>Romero Pineda & Asociados</i>	94
France Aurélie Marie <i>Cabinet Beau de Loménie</i>	101
Germany Claus M Eckhardt and Christine Fluhme <i>Bardehle Pagenberg Partnerschaft</i>	106
Greece Alkisti-Irene Malamis and Lina Galanopoulou <i>Malamis & Associates</i>	113
Honduras Ricardo Anibal Mejia M <i>Bufete Mejia & Asociados</i>	121
India P V Balasubramaniam, D Ferdinand and K M Aasim Shehzad <i>BFS Legal</i>	127
Italy Fabrizio de Benedetti and Pier Luigi Roncaglia <i>Società Italiana Brevetti (SIB) and Studio Legale SIB</i>	133
Japan Yasufumi Shiroyama and Ai Nagaoka <i>Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune</i>	138
Kenya John Syekei and David Opijah <i>Coulson Harney</i>	143
Korea Young-Hwan Na, So-Jung Bae and Michael M McDaniel <i>Lee International IP & Law Group</i>	148
Kosovo Tamara Bubalo <i>Karanović & Nikolić Law Office</i>	155
Kyrgyzstan Omurgul Balpanova and Aisulu Chubarova <i>ARTE Law Firm</i>	160
Macao Patrícia Rodrigues <i>Raul César Ferreira (Herd) SA</i>	165
Macedonia Tamara Bubalo <i>Karanović & Nikolić Law Office</i>	170
Malaysia Benjamin J Thompson, Haneeta Kaur Gill and Hannah Ariffin <i>Thompson Associates</i>	175
Mexico Marcela Bolland <i>Uhthoff, Gomez Vega & Uhthoff SC</i>	182
Montenegro Tamara Bubalo <i>Karanović & Nikolić Law Office</i>	187
Mozambique Patrícia Rodrigues <i>Raul César Ferreira (Herd) SA</i>	192
Nigeria Tolushuwa Oyebokun <i>Bloomfield Advocates & Solicitors</i>	197
Pakistan Ali Kabir Shah, Faridah Hemani and Yasir Quraishi <i>Ali & Associates</i>	204
Peru Rosa María Kresalja Santos <i>Duany & Kresalja Estudio de Abogados</i>	210
Poland Dorota Rzażewska and Marta Krzyśków-Szymkowicz <i>JWP Patent & Trademark Attorneys</i>	217
Portugal Patrícia Rodrigues <i>Raul César Ferreira (Herd) SA</i>	224
Puerto Rico Eugenio J Torres-Oyola and Maristella Collazo-Soto <i>Ferraiuoli LLC</i>	228
Russia Elizaveta Dmitrieva, Yuriy Korchuganov and Olga Zakondyrina <i>MGAP Attorneys at Law</i>	234
Saint Lucia Cheryl Charmaine Goddard-Dorville <i>Floissac Fleming & Associates</i>	239
São Tomé and Príncipe Patrícia Rodrigues <i>Raul César Ferreira (Herd) SA</i>	245
Serbia Tamara Bubalo <i>Karanović & Nikolić Law Office</i>	249
Singapore Lam Chung Nian and Jeffrey Lim <i>WongPartnership LLP</i>	255
Slovenia Nina Drnovšek <i>Law Office Drnovšek LLC</i>	260
South Africa Debbie Marriott, Eugene Honey and Craig Shapiro <i>Adams & Adams</i>	266
Switzerland Brendan Bolli, Barbara Gehri and Felix Locher <i>E Blum & Co AG</i>	272
Taiwan Fali Lin and Michael Zuck <i>Formosa Transnational, Attorneys at Law</i>	279
Turkey Korcan Dericioğlu <i>Dericioğlu & Yaşar Law Office</i>	285
United Kingdom Sarah Hadland, Holly Strube and Chloe Fernandez <i>Boyes Turner</i>	292
United States Michelle Mancino Marsh <i>Kenyon & Kenyon LLP</i>	299
Venezuela María Nebreda <i>Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque</i>	306
Vietnam Duong Thanh Long and Dao Phuong Hanh <i>Aliat Legal</i>	312

Italy

Fabrizio de Benedetti and Pier Luigi Roncaglia

Società Italiana Brevetti (SIB)

Studio Legale SIB

1 Ownership of marks

Who may apply?

Any natural and legal entity may file applications for trademarks that are already used or are intended to be used either directly by the applicant or by third parties with the applicant's consent.

2 Scope of trademark

What may and may not be protected and registered as a trademark?

Any signs that can be reproduced graphically (words, including personal names, designs, letters, numerals, sounds, the shape of the goods or of their packaging, colour combinations or tonalities), provided that they are capable of distinguishing goods or services, can be registered as trademarks. However, the following cannot be registered:

- signs consisting exclusively of a shape imposed by the very nature of the goods, a shape of goods that is necessary to obtain a technical result or a shape that gives substantial value to the goods;
- signs that lack distinctive character;
- signs contrary to the law, public policy or accepted principles of morality;
- signs capable of deceiving the public, in particular as to the geographic origin, nature or quality of the goods or services; and
- signs that would by their use constitute infringement of a copyright, industrial property right or any other third parties' exclusive rights.

In addition, portraits of persons, personal names different from the applicant's name and names or signs used in artistic, literary, scientific, political or sports fields, denominations and abbreviations of exhibitions and events, and not-for-profit bodies and associations, as well as the characteristic emblems thereof, if well known, may be registered – and used (see 'Update and trends') – as trademarks only by those entitled to them or with their consent.

3 Common law trademarks

Can trademark rights be established without registration?

The Italian system affords protection not only to registered trademarks but also to de facto-use trademarks.

Rights on a de facto-use trademark are acquired through 'qualified use' of the trademark, that is, to the point that it has attained a certain degree of consumer recognition throughout the country. The main differences between de facto-use trademarks in relation to registered trademarks is that the former do not afford protection in the absence of a likelihood of confusion and, generally speaking, do not afford the same degree of protection under criminal law.

4 Registration time frame and cost

How long does it typically take, and how much does it typically cost, to obtain a trademark registration? What circumstances would increase the estimated time and cost of filing a trademark application and receiving a registration? What additional documentation is needed to file a trademark application?

The timing for registration of non-opposed trademark applications may now last about six months, while for opposed applications, if not finally rejected, it may approximately run from 18 to 24 months unless the opposing mark is still pending (in which case the time for its final registration must be added). It should be noted, however, that protection of an Italian trademark runs from its filing date so that pending applications can be enforced in court against a later infringing trademark.

The official fee for the application is €158 for one class, plus €34 for each additional class inclusive of stamp and secretariat fees, but excluding the official fees (€34) for the power of attorney, if required.

5 Classification system

What classification system is followed, and how does this system differ from the International Classification System as to the goods and services that can be claimed? Are multi-class applications available and what are the estimated cost savings?

Italy strictly follows the Nice (International) Classification of Goods and Services. Any goods and services falling in one of the 45 classes established by the Nice Classification may be claimed. The PTO also accepts the indication of the class 'headings'. Multiclass applications are accepted provided a fee is paid for each additional class (see questions 4 and 10).

6 Examination procedure

What procedure does the trademark office follow when determining whether to grant a registration? Are applications examined for potential conflicts with other trademarks? May applicants respond to rejections by the trademark office?

Once the PTO receives a trademark application, it primarily checks that all formal requirements of the application procedure are satisfied. Then it examines the application on absolute grounds for refusal. PTO's remarks to a trademark application are communicated to the applicant who is given not less than two months to file observations. If, despite the applicant's observations, the PTO rejects the application, the applicant has 60 days from the receipt of the PTO's communication to appeal the decision before an appeal board.

On the contrary, the PTO does not carry out an examination on relative grounds, namely, as to prior trademark rights.

7 Use of a trademark and registration

Does use of a trademark or service mark have to be claimed before registration is granted or issued? Does proof of use have to be submitted? Are foreign registrations granted any rights of priority? If registration is granted without use, is there a time by which use must begin either to maintain the registration or to defeat a third-party challenge on grounds of non-use?

Applications can be made either for trademarks that are already in use or for trademarks that the applicant intends to use. There is no need to submit any evidence of use of a trademark even upon renewal. However, if a trademark is not used for five years from the date of registration, or if its use is suspended for five consecutive years, it may be subject to a revocation action before the competent court.

8 Appealing a denied application

Is there an appeal process if the application is denied?

When the PTO definitely rejects the application, the applicant has 60 days from the receipt of the communication to appeal the decision of the PTO before an appeal board.

9 Third-party opposition

May a third party oppose registration, or seek cancellation of a trademark or service mark? What are the primary bases of such challenges, and what are the procedures? May a brand owner oppose a bad-faith application for its mark in a jurisdiction in which it does not yet have protection?

An opposition procedure before the PTO is provided by the law.

Trademarks subject to opposition are Italian trademark applications filed as of 1 May 2011 and Italian designations of international trademarks, irrespective of their registration (or subsequent designation) date, published on WIPO's Gazette as of July 2011. The official fee for filing an opposition is €250.

Opposition can be grounded on:

- earlier trademark registrations or applications in force in Italy concerning trademarks that are identical to the trademark in the application to be opposed and covering identical goods or services or identical or similar to the trademark in the application to be opposed and covering identical or similar goods or services when there exists a likelihood of confusion on the part of the public;
- image rights if the trademark in the application to be opposed consists of a portrait of a person;
- personal names if use of the trademark in the application to be opposed can be detrimental to the reputation, credit or dignity of the person entitled to the name; and
- well-known personal names, signs used in artistic, literary, scientific, political or sports fields, denominations and abbreviations of exhibitions or events and of non-profit bodies or associations as well as their emblems.

Additional grounds including bad faith applications can be invoked only in invalidity actions.

An opposition should be filed within three months from the publication of the trademark application and the parties are assigned a two-month cooling-off period which may be extended, with both parties' consent, by up to a year from the first communication of the Office.

Supporting documents (registrations certificates, translations and the like) may be filed also after the expiration of the cooling-off period. Applicants may request proof of use of the marks on which the opposition is based that were registered more than five years prior to the date of publication of the opposed application. The

Office forwards this request to the opponent, setting a 60-day term for filing proof of use.

The Office may award costs to the winning party for a maximum of €300 in professional fees and €250 in official fees. According to the implementing regulations, decisions shall be rendered within 24 months starting from the opposition date. Any decision may be appealed before the Board of Appeals within a non-extendable term of 60 days from the communication of the decision.

Cancellation actions are also available and take the form of civil proceedings that must be brought before the specialised sections of 21 Italian courts.

10 Duration and maintenance of registration

How long does a registration remain in effect and what is required to maintain a registration? Is use of the trademark required for its maintenance? If so, what proof of use is required?

Registrations last 10 years from their application date. All that is required for their renewal is the filing of an application and the payment of a fee, which is currently €124 for one class plus €34 for each additional class, inclusive of stamp and secretariat fees, but excluding the official fees for the power of attorney, if required.

There is no need to submit evidence of use of a trademark, even upon renewal. However, if a trademark is not put to genuine use by its owner or with his authorisation for five years from the date of registration, or if its use is suspended for five consecutive years, it may be subject to a revocation action.

Generally speaking, sales invoices and advertising is the evidence most frequently submitted to prove that a trademark has been used.

11 The benefits of registration

What are the benefits of registration?

Under civil law the owner of a registered trademark can claim prima facie validity of its title, which is obviously an advantage from an evidentiary standpoint.

Under criminal law, the scope of protection of registered trademarks as opposed to de facto-use trademarks is broader.

In addition to this, trademark applications or registrations can be recorded with the Italian customs authorities.

An Italian registration is also recognised by the state of San Marino and can be enforced against infringements in that country.

12 Licences

May a licence be recorded against a mark in the jurisdiction? Are there any benefits to doing so or detriments to not doing so?

Licences can be recorded against Italian marks. Recordal is not compulsory but it is advisable. The recordal has essentially the aim of making public the rights acquired by the licensee through the licence. In addition, if the trademark owner makes more than one licence agreement with different parties concerning the same rights on the same trademark (eg, exclusive rights), the licensee who first makes the recordal can oppose the rights so acquired to any third party who at an earlier or later date has acquired the same or conflicting rights on the trademark in case the acquisition is not recorded at all or is recorded at a subsequent date.

13 Assignment

What can be assigned?

Trademarks can be assigned in Italy with or without goodwill. This, in particular, may be done for all or some of the goods or services for

which the trademark is registered. The only requirement is that the assignment does not cause any deceptiveness in those features of the goods or services that are essential for the appreciation of the public. Assigned goods or services should therefore be sufficiently different from those still owned by the assignor.

14 Assignment documentation

What documents are required for assignment and what form must they take?

Italian law does not request any special documentation for the validity of assignments that, theoretically, would not even need to be in writing. However, the assignee should be able to prove that the transfer of ownership took place. For recordal purposes, a simple declaration, executed by both parties, that the trademark registration has been transferred is required. If instead the deed of transfer is filed, the deed should be legalised.

15 Validity of assignment

Must the assignment be recorded for purposes of its validity?

As a general rule, assignments do not need to be recorded with the PTO to be valid. However, if a dispute arises among bona fide assignees, the first one to record the assignment will prevail. In addition, the record would identify the new owner in case he or she needs to enforce the mark or to base any administrative proceedings on the mark.

16 Security interests

Are security interests recognised and what form must they take? Must the security interest be recorded for purposes of its validity or enforceability?

Security interests are recognised, provided that the trademark is given as collateral for money credits and, in order to be valid, they need to be recorded with the PTO. A notarised and apostilled deed is required bearing the signature of all parties.

17 Markings

What words or symbols can be used to indicate trademark use or registration? Is marking mandatory? What are the benefits of using and the risks of not using such words or symbols?

In Italy, there is no special marking requirement, nor does any provision exist regarding proper marking to be used with registered or pending trademarks. The use of the '®' marking, or of the wording *marchio registrato* (registered trademark), should, however, be used only with marks that have been registered.

18 Trademark enforcement proceedings

What types of legal or administrative proceedings are available to enforce the rights of a trademark owner against an alleged infringer or dilutive use of a mark, apart from previously discussed opposition and cancellation actions? Are there specialised courts or other tribunals? Is there any provision in the criminal law regarding trademark infringement or an equivalent offence?

Trademark owners whose rights have been infringed can resort to either civil or criminal proceedings. These two avenues have partially different prerequisites and, of course, under criminal law only wilful infringements can be prosecuted.

All civil trademark disputes are attributed to the exclusive jurisdiction of the specialised sections of 21 Italian courts, which also act as European Community trademark courts. Criminal proceedings, however, are not handled by specialised courts.

Thanks to the introduction of these specialised sections back in 2003 (at that time and until 2012 their number was limited to 12), the level of expertise of Italian civil courts in trademarks matters has significantly improved and it is likely to continue to improve in the years to come.

From an administrative standpoint, trademark applications and registrations may form the basis of an application to Italian customs for suspension of goods suspected of being counterfeit.

19 Procedural format and timing

What is the format of the infringement proceeding?

Civil actions are instituted by serving a complaint on the defendant and, after the exchange of written briefs, and several hearings, the case is decided by a board composed of three judges.

Italian law does not have discovery as is understood by common-law countries; however, through the implementation of European Directive 48/2004, trademark owners are now given effective discovery tools to acquire information on the nature and extent of infringements and to access infringers' financial and commercial documents, which are essential both to discover the extent of the infringement and to obtain a suitable damages award. Live testimony is certainly admissible and, thanks to a recent amendment of our civil procedure code, so are written affidavits.

Interim protective measures can be obtained either before or pending litigation on the merits in a matter of weeks or even days, depending on whether the court's orders are granted *ex parte* or not.

The prerequisites for obtaining interim measures are the *fumus boni juris* (prima facie evidence of the IP right and of its infringement) and the *periculum in mora* (the danger of imminent and irreparable harm).

Under criminal law, proceedings are instituted by the public prosecutor either *ex officio* (for certain crimes) or upon the filing of a criminal complaint by an injured party. Criminal proceedings consist of a first pretrial investigation phase, occurring in secrecy, during which the public prosecutor carries out all the appropriate investigations to decide if the case should proceed to trial or be dismissed. If the case goes to trial, the rightholder has the possibility of joining the proceedings as an aggrieved party.

20 Burden of proof

What is the burden of proof to establish infringement or dilution?

In trademark infringement claims, the trademark owner has the burden of proving that its mark has been infringed. A mark is infringed by the use in the course of business of an identical sign for identical goods or services, or of an identical or similar sign for identical or similar goods or services provided that the risk of confusion may arise on the part of the public, which may also consist in a risk of association. If it is proved that the mark that is allegedly infringed enjoys a reputation, infringement is established, irrespective of any likelihood of confusion or association, when use of an identical or similar sign without due cause permits any unfair advantage to be taken of, or is detrimental to, the distinctive character or reputation of the registered mark.

21 Standing

Who may seek a remedy for an alleged trademark violation and under what conditions? Who has standing to bring a criminal complaint?

Trademark owners and their exclusive licensees (unless otherwise provided in the licence agreement) have standing to bring an infringement action under civil law.

Under criminal law, proceedings are instituted by the public prosecutor either *ex officio* (for certain crimes) or upon the filing of a criminal complaint by an injured party.

22 Foreign activities

Can activities that take place outside the country of registration support a charge of infringement or dilution?

No. Both from a civil and criminal standpoint, only activities that take place in the Italian territory may be taken into account to determine whether there has been an infringement.

23 Discovery

What discovery/disclosure devices are permitted for obtaining evidence from an adverse party, from third parties, or from parties outside the country?

Through the implementation of European Directive 48/2004, trademark owners are now given effective discovery/disclosure tools to acquire information on the nature and extent of infringements and to access infringers' financial and commercial documents. In particular, courts can request information concerning the origin and distribution networks of the infringing goods, either from the infringer or from those found in possession of such goods. This information can include the names and addresses of the manufacturers, distributors and suppliers and other previous holders of the goods, as well as wholesalers and retailers. Most importantly, the quantities manufactured and the price applied to infringing goods can be the object of such a request. If the infringers do not comply with these requests, criminal sanctions may follow.

Furthermore, courts can now order the disclosure of accounting books and financial documents revealing the extent of the infringement.

24 Timing

What is the typical time frame for an infringement or dilution, or related action, at the preliminary injunction and trial levels, and on appeal?

Among the most significant drawbacks that have traditionally plagued intellectual property civil litigation in Italy is the length of proceedings. Thanks to various amendments of our civil procedure code, the situation seems to be getting better and now, at least before the most experienced courts, it takes approximately two years to reach a first instance decision. Sometimes, however, it still takes about 10 years to go through all three levels of jurisdiction.

As bad as the problem has been, the length of civil proceedings has not reflected very negatively in the field of trademarks, where the main concern for the trademark owner is always to stop infringements as quickly as possible. The Italian system, in fact, has always offered a wide range of interim measures that are very effective and obtainable in a short time, sometimes in a matter of weeks or even days.

Under criminal law, it takes around one to two years until the pretrial investigation phase is over and the trial begins. However, it could still take nine or 10 years for the decision to become res judicata.

25 Litigation costs

What is the typical range of costs associated with an infringement or dilution action, including trial preparation, trial and appeal?

The costs of a civil action depend on the complexity of the case and, of course, the appointed counsel. At the end of an action, the court

generally awards attorneys' fees to the prevailing party unless there are special circumstances that may induce the court to decide that each party bears its own attorneys' fees. However, even when legal fees are awarded to the prevailing party, the sums that can be recovered do not cover the actual fees incurred, but simply a percentage of the same, often one-third to one-quarter of the actual costs.

Criminal actions are usually less expensive than civil actions for the simple reason that governmental authorities will perform part of the work, including all investigations. However, for criminal actions to be effective, there is still a great deal of work that needs to be performed by counsel.

Overall, the costs of litigation in Italy are not exorbitant and can certainly be afforded, even by small enterprises.

26 Appeals

What avenues of appeal are available?

First instance decisions may be appealed before the Court of Appeal. Cases can then be further appealed before the Italian Supreme Court only for errors in law.

27 Defences

What defences are available to a charge of infringement or dilution, or any related action?

Countless defences and counterclaims may be brought against an infringement charge. Obviously, the most common defences are that there is no infringement or that the allegedly infringed trademark is invalid or has lapsed due to non-use.

28 Remedies

What remedies are available to a successful party in an action for infringement or dilution, etc? What criminal remedies exist?

Interim protective measures include restraining orders (almost always accompanied by a penalty to secure compliance with the order), seizures of goods, orders to recall the goods from the marketplace, destruction of goods bearing the infringing mark (after the litigation on the merits) and publication of courts' decisions in newspapers or magazines.

Interim measures cease to be effective if litigation is not instituted within 20 working days or 31 calendar days, whichever is longer.

However, a peculiarity of the Italian system is that an exception is made for temporary restraining orders, which remain in place even when litigation on the merits is not instituted. The same measures can be obtained, on a permanent basis, at the end of an action. In addition to this, damages may be awarded to the trademark owner at the end of a litigation. Damages are awarded, taking into consideration the infringers' profits or the royalties that the same would have had to pay had they requested the authorisation to use the infringed trademark. In addition to this, trademark owners can seek the disgorgement of the infringers' profits, which is a totally separate remedy.

Under criminal law, the most effective remedies are without doubt search and seizure orders. Seizure allows Italian enforcement authorities to intervene very quickly, often within one to two days from the public prosecutor's consent to the search and seizure, and sometimes, if reasons of urgency exist, even without the prior consent of the public prosecutor, who will validate the search and seizure within the following 48 hours.

At the end of the trial, the judge orders the confiscation and destruction of the infringing goods in addition to the publication of the decision in a newspaper. Technically, aggrieved parties can also obtain an award for damages in criminal proceedings. However, this occurs extremely rarely.

29 ADR

Are ADR techniques available, commonly used and enforceable? What are the benefits and risks?

Although in the Italian system it is possible to resort to arbitration as an alternative to court proceedings, ADR has not traditionally enjoyed a great deal of success in trademark disputes in Italy, except for domain name disputes.

30 Famous foreign trademarks

Is a famous foreign trademark afforded protection even if not used domestically? If so, must the foreign trademark be famous domestically? What proof is required? What protection is provided?

The Italian system affords protection to trademarks that are well known within the meaning of article 6-bis of the Paris Convention (as interpreted and expanded in its scope of application by articles 16(2) and (3) of the TRIPS Agreement). To qualify as 'well known within the meaning of article 6-bis' a mark needs to be well known in Italy where its reputation will come as a result of its use or promotion in international trade. The protection afforded consists of the power to cancel the registration of identical or similar trademarks registered for identical or similar goods or services when a risk of confusion may arise on the part of the public, which may also include a risk of association of the two signs, and the possibility of cancelling the registration of identical or similar trademarks registered also for dissimilar goods or services when use of the later mark would take an unfair advantage of, or be detrimental to, the distinctive character or the repute of the well-known mark.

Update and trends

In 2012 a law decree modified the specialised sections by extending their exclusive jurisdiction to corporate law and raising their number up to 21. Given the recent introduction of this reform it is impossible to evaluate how it will affect the enforcement of IP rights in Italy; certainly, the larger number of specialised sections will decrease the number of IP cases handled by each section, making the process of increase in IP knowledge slower.

On the contrary, there is no provision that expressly affords protection to unregistered well-known marks within the meaning of article 6-bis of the Paris Convention against the unauthorised use of conflicting signs by third parties. Therefore, in practical terms, to prevent the unauthorised use of the conflicting mark, the owner of a well known mark within the meaning of article 6-bis of the Paris Convention will have the very light burden of filing an application in Italy before taking action. In any event, it should be remembered that article 6-bis of the Paris Convention and, consequently, articles 16(2) and (3) of the TRIPS Agreement, are automatically applicable in countries that are signatories to these agreements in the absence of a specific, more favourable national provision.



Fabrizio de Benedetti
Pier Luigi Roncaglia

debenedetti@sib.it
pierluigi.roncaglia@siblegal.com

Società Italiana Brevetti (SIB)
Piazza di Pietra, 39
00186 Rome
Italy
Tel: +39 06 69 544 1
Fax: +39 06 69 544 810
www.sib.it

Studio Legale SIB
Corso dei Tintori, 25
50122 Florence
Italy
Tel: +39 05 52 633 81
Fax: +39 05 52 633 800
www.siblegal.com

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH®

Annual volumes published on:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Acquisition Finance | Life Sciences |
| Air Transport | Mediation |
| Anti-Corruption Regulation | Merger Control |
| Anti-Money Laundering | Mergers & Acquisitions |
| Arbitration | Mining |
| Asset Recovery | Oil Regulation |
| Banking Regulation | Outsourcing |
| Cartel Regulation | Patents |
| Climate Regulation | Pensions & Retirement Plans |
| Construction | Pharmaceutical Antitrust |
| Copyright | Private Antitrust Litigation |
| Corporate Governance | Private Client |
| Corporate Immigration | Private Equity |
| Data Protection and Privacy | Product Liability |
| Dispute Resolution | Product Recall |
| Dominance | Project Finance |
| e-Commerce | Public Procurement |
| Electricity Regulation | Real Estate |
| Enforcement of Foreign
Judgments | Restructuring & Insolvency |
| Environment | Right of Publicity |
| Foreign Investment Review | Securities Finance |
| Franchise | Shipbuilding |
| Gas Regulation | Shipping |
| Insurance & Reinsurance | Tax Controversy |
| Intellectual Property &
Antitrust | Tax on Inbound Investment |
| Labour & Employment | Telecoms and Media |
| Licensing | Trade & Customs |
| | Trademarks |
| | Vertical Agreements |



**For more information or to
purchase books, please visit:
www.gettingthedealthrough.com**



Strategic research partners of
the ABA International section



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



The Official Research Partner of
the International Bar Association